

Whom it May Concern,

I have been a practicing homeopath for the past 3 years and became a homeopath because of beneficial impact of homeopathy on my health and the health of my family. Even if homeopathy did not exist I would still avoid the treatments and drugs from the pharmaceutical companies as they cause more harm than good in my opinion and experience.

I strongly believe that Options 1 and 2 (Keep homeopathy regulated the way it is) are the only reasonable options for the future of Homeopathy in Australia.

Options 3 and 4 would be to the detriment of Australian public health and would send Australia back to the dark ages. I strongly oppose these 2 options.

If we are to move forward, Homeopathy needs to be kept as an important modality option for all to be able to access. Just because the mechanism of action is misunderstood, doesn't mean science should give up! I have many happy customers who refer their friends and family to me because homeopathy is *effective*. Including the successful treatment of babies and toddlers who do not understand the concept of the placebo effect.

Proper unbiased studies need to be undertaken to correct the latest Homeopathic review, as the inaccuracies have since been pointed out about how that was undertaken. It would be unjust to ban Homeopathy in Australia based on this incorrect study.

Australia must also look to overseas trends to discover how far behind we are in not accepting Homeopathy with equal status as conventional medicine.

Regards,

██████████