Response ID ANON-3NHQ-DDSE-T

Submitted to Proposed refinements to the regulation of personalised medical devices
Submitted on 2021-07-14 17:26:30

Introduction

1 What is your name?

Name:
[redacted]

2 What is your work title?

Work title:
[redacted]

3 What is your email address?

Email:
[redacted]

4 What is your company/organisation?

Organisation:
[redacted]

5 What is your company/organisation address?

Please provide the business address of your company or organisation.:

[redacted]

6 Which industry do you work in, or represent?

Please state the industry that you work in, or are representing in your submission.:
Health

7 Are you responding:

On behalf of an organisation

Exclusion

8 Do you agree with the rationale for the proposed exclusion of products?

Yes

If you answered 'no' to the above question, why do you disagree with the rationale for the proposed exclusion of the products listed?:

9 Are the risks posed by the products adequately managed if they are excluded from regulation by the TGA?

Not Answered

Please explain your response, including by providing examples that illustrate and/or support your position.:

10 Are there further products that meet the principles proposed for exclusion? What are they and why should they be excluded?

Ensure your answer directly addresses (1) why the products pose no, or insignificant, levels of risk or (2) why the product does not meet the definition of a medical device. :

Exemption

11 Do you agree with the rationale for the proposed exemption of Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched devices when produced under the circumstances listed in the consultation paper?

Yes

If you answered 'no' to the above question, please explain why it is that you disagree with the rationale for the proposed exemption of Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched medical devices?:

12 Can the risks posed by Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched medical devices when produced under the circumstances listed in the consultation paper be adequately managed if they are exempted from inclusion in the ARTG?

Not Answered

Please explain your response, including by providing examples that illustrate and/or support your position.:

13 Are there further circumstances where Class I non-sterile, non-measuring patient-matched devices could be exempt? If so, what measures are in place to manage the risks associated with the devices?

Ensure your answer directly addresses the specific circumstances that are in place (such as qualifications, accreditation, certification, etc) to ensure that the risks associated with the manufacture of the devices have been managed and the Australian regulatory requirements for medical devices have been met before they are supplied.:

Alternative approaches we should consider

18 Are there alternative mechanisms for minimising the regulatory burden for patient-matched medical devices without compromising patient health and safety that you would like to propose?

If applicable, please write your response in the text box below.:

Due to[redacted] as statutory bodies, it would be recommended that Queensland Health advocate to be the responsible authority/manufacturer/ single point of registration per device so that each[redacted] is not submitting essentially the same submission resulting in unnecessary increased costs and processes?

There are other regulatory processes in place to support quality and scope practice of clinicians doing the manufacturing (eg AHPRA for PT, OT, Dentistry, AOPA or eligible for membership to AOPA) – have these processes been considered?

Identifying that strong Governance is already in place; Accredited institution recognised to meet NSQHS standards; systems in place for identifying AEs etc.

[redacted] has concerns of additional regulatory burden due to the nature of a paediatric setting and the potential increase in the volume for patient matched medical devices. Is there a way of reducing the regulatory burden for patient matched medical devices in a paediatric setting?

Required final step - Publishing my response

19 Do you consent to the Department collecting the information requested in Citizen Space about you, including any sensitive information, for the purposes of this consultation?

I consent

20 I consent to the submission made by me being published on the Department's website, and accessible to the public, including persons overseas, in accordance with the following preference:

Publish response without my name or my organisation's name (anonymously)

21 If there are specific answers you have provided that you do not wish to be published, please indicate these below.

Please state below any specific questions that you do not wish to have your answers to published.:

22 May we contact you for further information or to seek feedback about how the consultation was undertaken?

Yes

23 By making a submission, I acknowledge that:

I acknowledge the above